



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,189	11/21/2000	Bernard H. Wolzenski	FSA090905USNP	9504

57572 7590 07/03/2006

MARK S. NOWOTARSKI  
30 GLEN TERRACE  
STAMFORD, CT 06906

EXAMINER

GRAHAM, CLEMENT B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3628

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/717,189	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WOLZENSKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Clement B. Graham	<b>Art Unit</b> 3628	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/3/06 has been entered.
2. Claims 1-34 remained pending and claims 35-43 has been added.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:  
(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
4. Claims 1-19, and 26-34, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan U.S Patent 6, 430, 542 in view Bell U.S. Patent No. 6, 161, 096).

As per claim 1, Moran discloses a computerized process for generating a financial and liquidity estate plan for a client ("i. e, member") said process comprising the steps of;

determining assets of the client.(see column 42 lines 10-40) defining a plurality of asset categories based on type and purpose of asset categorizing the client's assets in the defined categories.(Note abstract and see 17 lines 5-65 and column 18 lines 35-60) and performing liquidity analyses of the categorized assets to determine current estate liquidity and projected future estate liquidity of the client (see column 26 lines 23-65 and column 27 lines 1-9) executing a computerized retirement protection to generate a plan for re-allocating the client's assets among the defined categories based on the liquidity analyses. (see column 46 lines 10-23).

Morgan fail to explicitly teach a plan providing a reallocation of at least a portion of the client's assets by purchasing a series of pre-paid death benefit amounts based on the generated plan each of said pre-paid death benefit amounts being purchased by determining a one time premium as a function of the performed liquidity analyses and the re-allocated assets.

However Bell discloses a method for identifying and administering deferred award instrument plan through a computer system, said method comprising the steps of: identifying at least one participant in said deferred award plan; retrieving financial data related to stock options corresponding to said identified participant; computing a spread associated with said retrieved stock options; establishing a rabbi trust with said spread; determining whether a life insurance policy has been purchased by said participant; determining whether a spilt dollar agreement has been executed; monitoring and paying at least one premium for said life insurance policy; and notifying said participant that a fifth payment associated with said life insurance policy has been paid.(see column 2 lines 27-41).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Morgan to include plan providing a reallocation of at least a portion of the client's assets by purchasing a series of pre-paid death benefit amounts based on the generated plan each of said pre-paid death benefit amounts being purchased by determining a one time premium as a function of the performed liquidity analyses and the re-allocated assets taught by Bell in order to manage assets and liabilities and determine whether changes in asset allocation are required.

As per claim 2, Moran discloses wherein the step of defining the categories includes defining a first category for assets that are generally non-liquid and intended for personal enjoyment of the client.(see column 24 lines 6-8 an column 42 lines 10-42).

As per claim 3, Moran discloses wherein the step of defining the categories includes defining a second category for cash and cash-equivalent assets that are generally intended for reserve capital purposes. (see column 42 lines 10-40).

As per claims 4, Moran discloses wherein the step of defining the categories includes defining a third category for unprotected assets that are generally growth oriented anal intended for funding retirement of the client. (see column 42 lines 10-40).

As per claims 5, Moran discloses wherein the step of defining the categories includes defining a fourth category for protected assets that are generally exempt from

creditors and intended for providing retirement protection and estate liquidity. (see column 42 lines 10-40).

As per claim 6, Moran discloses further comprising the step of defining a life insurance product.(see column 42 lines 10-40) said product being a protected asset having a pre-paid death benefit amount purchased with a one time premium and providing a series of additional pre-paid death benefit amounts each purchased with a one time premium as scheduled for future purchase.(Note abstract and see 17 lines 5-65 and column 18 lines 35-60 and column 19 lines 1-10).

As per claim 7, Moran discloses wherein the defined life insurance product a pre-paid, variable life insurance product. (Note abstract and see 17 lines 5-65 and column 18 lines 35-60).

As per claim 8, Moran discloses wherein the step of defining the life insurance product includes maximizing cash value of the product per premium dollar by removing up-front loads and reducing ongoing charges. (see column 42 lines 5-42).

As per claim 9, Moran discloses wherein the step of defining the life insurance product includes minimizing "at risk mortality" casts by cash value purchasing a minimum desired amount of life insurance. (see column 20 lines 20-43).

As per claims 10 wherein the plan for re-allocating the clients assets includes consuming unprotected assets before protected assets. (see column 46 lines 10-23).

As per claim 11, Moran discloses wherein the plan for re-allocating the clients assets further includes consuming the defined life insurance product after other protected assets. (see column 46 lines 10-23).

As per claim 12, Moran discloses wherein the step of performing the liquidity analyses includes determining asset protection needs of the client. (see column 42 lines 10-40).

As per claim 13, Moran discloses wherein the step of performing the liquidity analyses includes executing a plurality of modules for analyzing the categorized assets. (Note abstract and see 17 lines 5-65 and column 18 lines 35-60).

As per claim 14, Moran discloses wherein the liquidity analyses modules include a current liquidity module, said current liquidity module comprising the steps of analyzing

the categorized assets and determining the current liquidity of the client's assets. (see column 42 lines 10-40).

As per claim 15, Moran discloses wherein executing the retirement protection module, said retirement protection module comprising defining retirement goals of the client, calculating the amount of assets needed in each of the defined categories to meet the defined retirement goals, analyzing the categorized assets and determining whether the client's assets meet the defined retirement goals. (see column 16 lines 25-45 and column 42 lines 5-42).

As per claim 16, Moran discloses wherein the retirement protection module further comprises the step of calculating an amount of non-exempt assets to convert to exempt assets based on a projected rate of consumption of assets relative to the client's retirement goals. (see column 16 lines 25-45 and column 42 lines 5-42).

As per claim 17, Moran discloses wherein the retirement protection modules further comprises the step of calculating a systematic asset allocation schedule for converting the non-exempt assets to exempt assets. (see column 46 lines 10-23).

As per claim 18, Moran discloses wherein the liquidity analyses modules include estate tax liquidity and estate tax reduction modules, said estate tax liquidity and reduction modules comprising the steps of defining estate protection goals of the client, calculating the amount of assets needed in each of the defined categories to meet the defined estate protection goals, analyzing the categorized assets and determining whether the client's assets meet the defined estate protection goals. (see column 16 lines 25-45 and column 42 lines 5-42).

As per claim 19, Moran discloses wherein the steps of categorizing the client's assets, performing the liquidity analyses and generating the plan for re-allocating the client's assets are executed on a computer. (see column 39 lines 34-41).

Claims 26-34 Moran discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable modules comprising:  
a current liquidity module for performing a liquidity analysis to determine a current value of assets of a client if liquidated at present, each of said assets being categorized in one of a plurality of asset categories based on type and purpose of the assets, said liquidity

analysis being a function of the asset categories.(Note abstract and see 17 lines 5-65 and column 18 lines 35-60) a projected liquidity module for performing the liquidity analysis to determine a projected value of the assets of the client if liquidated at a projected retirement age of the client a retirement protection module for generating a plan to re-allocate the assets of the client among the asset categories based on the liquidity analyses to provide protection of retirement landing for the client (see column 26 lines 23-65 and column 27 lines 1-9) wherein said retirement protection module executes the plan retirement protection module by purchasing a pre-paid variable life insurance product after re-allocating at least a portion of the assets(see column 42 lines 10-40 and abstract and see 17 lines 5-65 and column 18 lines 35-60 and (see column 46 lines 10-23) at relatively greater risk to the pre-paid variable life insurance product having a one time premium at relatively lower risk.(see column 42 lines 10-40 and abstract and see 17 lines 5-65 and column 18 lines 35-60 and (see column 46 lines 10-23).

Moran Fail to explicitly teach prepaid and providing reallocation.

However Bell discloses a method for identifying and administering deferred award instrument plan through a computer system, said method comprising the steps of: identifying at least one participant in said deferred award plan; retrieving financial data related to stock options corresponding to said identified participant; computing a spread associated with said retrieved stock options; establishing a rabbi trust with said spread; determining whether a life insurance policy has been purchased by said participant; determining whether a spilt dollar agreement has been executed; monitoring and paying at least one premium for said life insurance policy; and notifying said participant that a fifth payment associated with said life insurance policy has been paid.(see column 2 lines 27-41).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include prepaid taught by Bell in order to pre-fund benefits. Morgan and Ryan fail to explicitly teach providing for a reallocation.

Art Unit: 3628

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 20-25, and 35-43, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bell U.S. Patent 6, 161, 0967).

As per claims 20-25 Bell discloses a computerized process for defining a pre-paid, variable life insurance product comprising the steps of defining a first premium; determining a cash value of the product based on the first premium defining a pre-paid death benefit purchased with the first premium based on the cash value of the product .( and see column 2 lines 3-67 and column 3 lines 26-67 and column 4-7 lines 1-67).  
automatically investing the cash value of the product in one or more investment accounts;  
adjusting the cash value of the product as a function of gains and losses on the investment accounts defining a second premium as a function of the adjusted cash value and automatically redefining the pre-paid death benefit purchased with the premium based on the adjusted cash value of the product.( and see column 2 lines 3-67 and column 3 lines 26-67 and column 4-7 lines 1-67).

As per claims 35, 41-43 Bell discloses computer implemented method comprising: calculating an adjusted pre-paid death benefit mount based at least in part on a first one time premium amount a second one time premium amount, said first one time premium amount being paid on behalf of an individual purchasing a first pre-paid death benefit amount and said second one time premium amount being paid on behalf of said individual purchasing a second pre-paid death benefit amount;  
automatically generating a plan sufficient to describe at least one of: said first pre-paid death benefit amount said second pre-paid death benefit amount and said adjusted pre-paid death benefit amount as a function of the first one time premium amount and or the



second one time premium amount. (Note abstract and see column 2 lines 3-67 and column 3 lines 26-67 and column 4-7 lines 1-67).

As per claim 36, Bell discloses wherein: said adjusted pre-paid benefit amount is based in part on at least one of: modified amount, an a said second modified amount; and said first modified and second modified amounts are modified by one or more of; an account charge a received premium a morality charge an optional term insurance charge and an investment performance amount.( and see column 2 lines 3-67 and column 3 lines 26-67 and column 4-7 lines 1-67).

As per claim 37, Bell discloses wherein said first modified amount represents a first cash value of a said first pre-paid death benefit amount and said second modified represents a second cash value of said second pre-paid death benefit amount.

As per claim 38, Bell discloses further comprising updating at least one of said adjusted first and second pre-paid death benefit amounts daily.( and see column 2 lines 3-67 and column 3 lines 26-67 and column 4-7 lines 1-67).

As per claim 39, Bell discloses further comprising investing at least part of said first and part of said second one time premium amounts.

As per claim 40, Bell discloses wherein said adjusted pre-paid death benefit amount is based in part on said investing.( and see column 2 lines 3-67 and column 3 lines 26-67 and column 4-7 lines 1-67).

## **Conclusion**

### **Response to Arguments**

7. Applicant 's arguments filed on 04/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new grounds of rejections.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clement B Graham whose telephone number is 703-305-1874. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on 703-308-0505. The fax phone numbers


Art Unit: 3628

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 703-305-0040 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CG

June 23, 2006

  
FRANTZY POINVIL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
*AU 3628*